

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,222	05/10/2001	Srihari Kumar	P3966	1085
24739 75	590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
CENTRAL COAST PATENT AGENCY			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
PO BOX 187 AROMAS, CA	95004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\wedge$	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\sim$
Office Action Comments	09/854,222	KUMAR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
7	Daniel S Felten	3624	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	<b>:</b>
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (1)  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this community BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>01 July 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	i for allowance except for formal matt ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	•	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
Applicant may not request that any obje	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang the correction is required if the drawing	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	÷
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date sformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/854,222

Art Unit: 3624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). It is also submitted that references are evaluated by what they suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art rather than their specific disclosure [see In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969)]

In response to the argument that Schrader enables a transactor to view pending on-line banking transactions at one financial institution only, this was discussed in the March 24, 2004 Office Action why one of ordinary skill in the art would modify the software of Schrader with the teachings of Hagan to enable a proxy transfer of funds between at least a user's financial account held at one institution and a user's financial account held at another institution. The March 24, 2004 office action provided motivation for the combination of references (which is emphasized again here) stating that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize the fact that certain financial institutions (i.e. banks) are federally insured only to a certain dollar limit (i.e. FDIC) and therefore motivated to use Hagan to transfer funds to a number of different institutions (or banks, insurance companies, etc.,) to safeguard against uninsured (or unprotected funds).

Application/Control Number: 09/854,222

Art Unit: 3624

Moreover, it should be noted that the definition of "financial institution" in this case is not limited to depository institutions (banks, credit unions, etc.,) but includes nondepository institutions (i.e., insurance companies and pension plans). One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the fact that a user would be able to conveniently make proxy transfer of funds to one of these institutions via the teachings of Hagan. Thus such modification would have been an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill of the art.

## Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S Felten whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

Application/Control Number: 09/854,222

Art Unit: 3624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DSF

September 28, 2004

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3624

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Unes J Melli